1	
2	UNREDACTED
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE EASTERN DIVISION
5	
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )
7	VS ) NO.17-cr-10097
8	JOHN MICHAEL BRILEY  ) NO.17-C1-10097  ) JACKSON, TENNESSEE  )
9	)
10	
11	
12	SENTENCING HEARING
13	
14	JANUARY 30, 2018
15	
16	
17	BEFORE THE HONORABLE S. THOMAS ANDERSON,
18	UNITED STATES CHIEF JUDGE
19	
20	
21	
22	KRISTI HEASLEY, RPR OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
23	U.S. COURTHOUSE, SUITE 450 111 SOUTH HIGHLAND AVENUE
24	JACKSON, TENNESSEE 38301
25	
	UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT
	OMEDWCIED HAMPOUTET

```
2
 1
                              APPEARANCES
 2
 3
 4
     FOR THE UNITED STATES:
 5
     VIC IVY, ESQ.
     MATTHEW WILSON, ESQ.
 6
     UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
     109 South Highland Avenue
 7
     Suite 300
     Jackson, TN 38301
 8
 9
10
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
11
     LORNA S. MCCLUSKY, ESQ.
     WILLIAM D. MASSEY, ESQ.
12
     MASSEY & MCCLUSKY
     3074 East Road
13
     Memphis, TN 38128
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                        UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT
```

1 MS. MCCLUSKY: Yes, Your Honor, we did. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a look at 3 the calculations. For the record, Mr. Briley pled quilty to 4 5 Count 1, identity theft, in violation of 18, U.S.C. 6 Section 1028(a)(7). That carries a statutory sentence of 7 not more than 10 years imprisonment, not more than 8 \$250,000 fine, or both, not more than three years of 9 supervised release, and a \$100 special assessment. 10 As far as the calculations, Counsel, I'm 11 looking on Page 7 beginning at Paragraph 23 under Guideline 2B1.1(a)(2). The base offense level would be a 12 13 six. 14 At Paragraph 24, under 2B1.1(b)(1)(B), 15 there will be an additional two points added if the loss 16 amount exceeded \$6,500, but was less than \$15,000. 17 would result in an offense level of eight. 18 And, of course, as you can see from the 19 report, there is a recommendation that Mr. Briley should 20 not receive credit for acceptance of responsibility. 21 Now, Mr. Wilson, what is the government's 22 position on that, or do you have a position? 23 MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor. To make 24 things short, we discussed this, I discussed this with 25 defense counsel on relevant additional guideline

provisions.

And I believe, I don't want to speak for them, but we're all in agreement that as reflected in the presentence report no reduction for acceptance of responsibility is appropriate. So I think the presentence report is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Ms. McClusky, do you want to be heard on that issue?

MS. MCCLUSKY: Your Honor, that is correct. We did talk about this, and this is what we have agreed upon.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, then the Court finds — and I think the report accurately sets forth the reasons why Mr. Briley would not be entitled to credit for acceptance of responsibility. So there will be no credit under 3E1.1(a) or obviously (b).

That results in a total offense level of eight.

As far as Mr. Briley's criminal history score on Page 8 at Paragraph 35, he has a zero criminal history score. That would place him in criminal history category I.

Based upon an offense level of eight and a criminal history category of I, the guideline range of imprisonment would be zero to six months. The period of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

supervised release would be one to three years. There is restitution sought in this matter. In fact, it's my understanding that it either has been or will be paid, of The fine range would be \$1,000 to \$10,000. \$7,844.72. And there is a \$100 special assessment that is mandatory. All right. Mr. Wilson, any objections to the calculations as stated by the Court? MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Ms. McClusky, any objections to the calculations as stated by the Court? MS. MCCLUSKY: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Then, Mr. Wilson, let me hear from you first as far as what sentence you believe would be appropriate for Mr. Briley. MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor. As a preliminary matter, I've again spoken with the defense, and there were a number of letters I believe submitted to the Court. THE COURT: There were. MR. WILSON: Okay. And in response this morning I forwarded one letter to the Court. But it's my understanding the defense is going to have a request regarding those letters, and I as I'm going to ask the Court to withdraw that letter well. from consideration. And if the Court would hear from the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. MCCLUSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. As a housekeeping matter, I would like to introduce the Court to members of his family and community of friends and associates that are here today. THE COURT: Okay. MS. MCCLUSKY: His mother and father, Mary Nell and David Lynn Briley. THE COURT: If you'll raise your hand when she identifies who you are. Okay. That's Mary Nell and David MS. MCCLUSKY: Lynn Briley. His sister Ray Fuller is here. Dr. Colleen Conway Welch, who is the Dean Emerita of Vanderbilt University is here. Dr. Robert Hollis, who is the Chief of GI at Western Tennessee Healthcare is here. Dorris, his 10 grade English teacher is here. Schneider from the Madison County Sheriff's Department is here. Richard Staples, who is the retired Chief of Police for Jackson, Tennessee. Pat and Harry Hardwick who are patients. Bob and Sharon Herrindon who are also patients. I was introduced to Mr. Jones. But, Your Honor, I cannot remember his first name. MALE VOICE: Tod. MS. MCCLUSKY: Tod. I'm sorry. He has also been a patient. Shanie Garcia was his first grade

2.1

2.4

girlfriend. She is here, Your Honor. As well as James Mayo, a Professor of English Literature.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MS. MCCLUSKY: Your Honor, under the 3553 considerations the Court must look at the nature and circumstances of the offense.

Certainly it's serious. It's a fraud. And if violated Federal law. It is non violent. The losses are not astronomical, but they remain very important.

Looking at the history and characteristics of Mr. Briley. He is a man who has been dedicated to his family and his clinical practice. He has received a number of accolades over the years.

And we have discussed these with the government, Your Honor, and they have no objection to our discussion of these.

He was the Outstanding First Year Student at Vanderbilt University in 1991. He was a Tennessee Delegate to Washington, D.C., American Nurses Association in 1995. He has made seven trips at his own expense to Egypt to administer immunizations to children. He was the Practitioner of the Year in 1997, voted so by the American Association of Nurse Practitioners. He received the President's Award from President George Bush

2.1

in 2002. He was submitted as a candidate by Vanderbilt. He received the Chancellor's Award from Vanderbilt in 2004. He was Alumni of the Year at U.T. Memphis in 2008. He was President of Vanderbilt's University Scholarship Committee from 2000 to 2013.

He was an Associate Professor of Nursing at Freed-Hardeman University from 2006 to 2008. He was the Assistant Professor of Anatomy at Freed-Hardeman in 2006. He was their campus health officer from 2005 to 2008. He served the state of Tennessee as Chair of the Government Affairs Committee from 2006 to 2010.

Looking at the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense. When we look at the nature and circumstances we certainly see it's serious. The collateral damages in this case, however, is not as great when compared overall to what the Court has seen. But that does again not back away from the seriousness of this situation.

Promoting respect for the law. Look what happened to Mr. John Michael Briley. His actions destroyed his practice. He lost his license. He lost his income. He hurt his family, friends and patients. He hurt his community.

Respect for the law and rule of his profession have become a part of his very being. He has

2.4

seen what error does. Look what happened. His respect for the law assures that it will never happen again.

Just punishment. Under the guidelines just punishment is viewed as a sentence of no incarceration.

What we're asking for, Your Honor, is time served and one year of supervised release.

To afford adequate deterrence. Any member of the medical community who would not be deterred by the public humiliation, the loss of his license, the loss of his clinic, the loss of income, and the embarrassment to his friends and family and patients will not be deterred by a particular sentence. A person who wouldn't be deterred by what has happened over him, to him over the last four years, I think, Your Honor, is a person who cannot be deterred. They only have to see what happened to John Michael Briley already to be sufficiently deterred.

To protect the public from further crimes of the defendant. This man will not be a risk to the public. The lesson has been painfully learned. He's been humbled. He has watched others suffer because of his actions. For a man whose life dream was to help, he has had to admit he harmed. The lesson has indeed been learned.

2.1

2.4

To provide the defendant with needed educational, vocational or medical services. The sentence would not avail him any of those. He's a professional, he's educated himself. He could not — there is no need to use the public expense any further for him.

The kinds of sentences available. The guidelines tells us zero to six months. As to a pertinent policy statement, I know of none other than the guidelines. And the guidelines offer such a low sentence, and we are actually asking for time served.

To avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities. I think we're safe in saying that given the government's position in this case and the loss amount, the sentence requested is not dispared.

The need to provide restitution. He's already given us \$7,500. I think early on we weren't sure what the final amount would be. So we have a check for \$7,500. He will pay the additional \$344.72 before he leaves the building today, Your Honor.

The medical community is willing to give Mr. Briley another chance. If he's placed on probation they will not give him that chance. A sentence of time served with one year of supervised release gives promise to that chance.

1 Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. 3 McClusky. 4 Mr. Briley, would you like to make any 5 statements? You are not required to, but I will be glad 6 to hear from you if you would like to say anything. 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, if you 8 don't mind. 9 THE COURT: Come up to the podium, please. 10 THE DEFENDANT: Thank you, Your Honor, for 11 giving me this chance to talk to you. And I want you to 12 know that I am so sorry. And this will never happen 13 again, I promise. I will make this right. And I will 14 put my, make my family and medical community who put 15 trust in me proud of me once more, as I have for 25 16 I will make you proud of me as well. 17 apologize, Your Honor, for my actions, sincerely 18 apologize. 19 And I apologize to all those here today, 20 my patients, family, friends and associates. And I want 21 to thank you. 22 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, 23 Mr. Briley. 24 Mr. Wilson, do you want to respond in any 25 way?

MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Anything else, Ms. McClusky? 3 MS. MCCLUSKY: I want to make sure that I made it clear to the Court that if he got probation the 4 5 medical community will not relicense him. THE COURT: Yeah, I think I understand 6 7 where you are coming from. 8 MS. MCCLUSKY: Thank you, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 Mr. Briley, and I'm sure that Mr. Massey 11 and Ms. McClusky have gone over this with you. In fact, Ms. McClusky addressed most of the factors, if not all 12 13 the factor that I'm required to consider in deciding what 14 the Court believes would be an appropriate sentence for 15 you in this case. 16 I'm going to briefly go over those again 17 just for your information. We refer to these as the Section 3553 factors. And I'm instructed to consider 18 19 these factors in fashioning a sentence that I believe is 20 appropriate in any given case. 21 3353 states that the Court should consider 22 the following factors. 23 The nature and circumstances of the 24 offense and the history and characteristics of the 25 The need for the sentence imposed to reflect defendant.

2.1

the seriousness of the offense. To promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense.

It should also afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protect the public from further crimes that you might commit, and provide you with any needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner.

So I will be considering those factors and taking them into consideration in deciding what I believe is an appropriate sentence in your case.

report as the factual findings of the Court in this matter. To briefly summarize some of the more pertinent information, it appears that on November 18th of 2015, a Federal Grand Jury sitting here in the Western District of Tennessee returned what turned out to be a 424 count indictment against Mr. Briley.

It appears from the report that on November 28th of 2016, this matter proceeded to trial. However, because of unforeseen circumstances, a mistrial was declared shortly into the trial that was taking place. Thereafter, on November 14th of 2017, Mr. Briley appeared before this Court and pled guilty to one count of an information.

2.1

As I've already stated, Mr. Briley pled guilty to identity theft, in violation of 21, United States Code, Section 1028(a)(7).

In looking at the background, what is referred to as the offense conduct, the report states and indicates that beginning on or about August 19th of 2014, the field office, the Nashville field office of the Office of the Inspector General received information that Mr. Briley, a nurse practitioner in Jackson, Tennessee, was forging the signature of Dr. Joel Perchik, a radiologist, on orders and plans of care for home health services of Medicare patients.

The complainant also identified two other physicians whose identities may have been stolen and used by Mr. Briley to order home health services without the physicians' knowledge or authorization. After receiving the complaint, the Federal Bureau of Investigation joined in the investigation of Mr. Briley.

At that time Mr. Briley owned and operated a clinic in Jackson, Tennessee, known as the Primary Care Specialists South, Incorporated. And it indicates in the report that it was primarily an internal and family medicine practice.

Mr. Briley was a nurse practitioner. And as such, regulations required him to have a sponsoring

2.1

2.4

physician who spent at least 20 percent of the office hours in the practitioner's office. Since Mr. Briley was a nurse practitioner, he was not authorized to sign off on home health services that were to be paid by Medicare or Medicaid.

As part of the investigation Dr. Joel
Perchik was interviewed. According to Dr. Perchik, in
2006 he offered to temporarily be Mr. Briley's sponsoring
physician. However, in 2010 Dr. Perchik received a home
health care form at his office. He stated that he has,
as a radiologists he has consistently not authorized
services and had never authorized Mr. Briley to sign on
his behalf. At that time Dr. Perchik contacted
Mr. Briley, who advised the doctor that it was a mistake.

A few months later Dr. Perchik received another form. And again he contacted Mr. Briley and informed Mr. Briley that he was not his sponsoring physician.

As part of the investigation, FBI agents requested and obtained Mr. Briley's enrollment and billing from Medicare from 2010 until approximately August of 2014. Agents interviewed each of the physicians and showed them for which patients Medicare identified them as having ordered home health services. And again Dr. Perchik confirmed that he had never ordered

any home health services.

2.1

In June of 2015, Mr. Briley was indicted by a Federal Grand Jury on one count of aggravated identity theft, and 145 counts of false statements relating to healthcare matters. Again, this all relates back to the forgery of Dr. Perchik's signature.

As the agents continued to pursue their investigation, they began to get into the billing practices of the clinic. Specifically, they interviewed employees and former employees of the clinic. They got into an investigation involving the number of x-rays that were performed and were billed for. And ultimately they determined that there were a substantial number of x-rays that had allegedly been performed which were not. And in many cases there had been billing for those x-rays, even though they had not been performed.

On August 13th of 2015, a subpoena was served on Mr. Briley's attorney. The subpoena demanded approximately 475 patient charts, including any and all x-rays, progress notes, interpretation reports, and other documentation to determine whether x-ray services had actually been performed.

Mr. Briley provided partial copies of all but approximately 47 charts. And Mr. Briley indicated that those 47 charts had either been lost or stolen. For

2.1

all the approximately 475 patient charts that Mr. Briley provided, there were no x-ray images.

At that point, again the agents pursued their investigation of these x-rays and the billing practices there. Ultimately, on March 29th of 2016, agents executed a Federal search warrant on Primary Care Specialists South, Incorporated.

At that time they located approximately 30 of the 47 charts that Mr. Briley had represented to the agents that had been lost or stolen. So he misrepresented to the agents the status of those lost or stolen records.

Ultimately it was determined that Mr. Briley had received payment for 277 x-ray services that never actually took place. And that constituted, based on the best available evidence at the time, that there was an amount of restitution owed of \$7,844.72. Again, that's somewhat of a, just of an estimate number, because the report indicates that x-ray services were billed at different rates. They could range anywhere from \$18 to \$41 to \$65.

So the amount was somewhat speculative. But it appears that the government has agreed to that amount, and Ms. McClusky has indicated that they're not opposing that.

2.1

So those are the circumstances that bring Mr. Briley before the Court.

Now in this matter, as I've already stated, there is no criminal history points, so there is no other criminal history. Mr. Briley has no other criminal history in his background.

As far as the other information provided in the report, it indicates that he was born in August of 1969. He indicated that he had what he referred to as a perfect childhood. He stated he grew up on a farm with very loving parents and many family members who were his neighbors. So certainly he was fortunate to have a very good childhood.

He reports that he has never been married and has no children. As far as his physical condition, he does indicate that he suffers from recurring kidney stones, and that's something that he has been receiving care for.

As far as his mental and emotional health, he reported no history of mental and emotional or mental illness. However, he did advise that in 2013 he did begin experiencing depression and anxiety that was due in large part to his profession and to his job.

As far as substance abuse, Mr. Briley asserts and claims and states that he has never abused

2.1

alcohol and he has never used any other type of medication, other than that which was prescribed by a physician.

He self-reported to the Tennessee

Professional Assistance Program regarding his depression
and anxiety. And as a result of that, he underwent a
nine day evaluation at Bradford Health Services in
Alabama.

As a result, and as a part of this whole process, he ultimately reported to Cumberland Heights here in Jackson.

I do need to state that Mr. Briley has indicated that he has, in his opinion and his belief, and based upon his own knowledge, he has no substance abuse problems. However, he did cooperate with what was recommended. He ultimately ended up going through what amounted to about a 60 to 90 day program. Ultimately, I think it's a correct statement that it was concluded that he did not have a drug related problem that was noted in any way. And so he cooperated fully with that part of the situation.

As far as his education, he graduated from South Side High School here in Jackson. Attended Freed-Hardeman University, graduated with a Bachelor's Degree in Bible and Biology in 1991. He received his

2.1

Master's Degree in Nursing from Vanderbilt University in 1995. He enrolled at the University of Tennessee Health Sciences in 2003, and received his Doctorate or Doctor of Nursing Practice, Doctor and Doctorate of Nursing Practice in 2006.

It appears that at that time is when he opened Primary Care Specialists South in Jackson,

Tennessee. And he was employed there between 2006 and 2014.

As far as his financial condition, I'm not going to go over all of that. I'm just going to simply conclude that based upon the information provided in the report — and, Counsel, you can — obviously, I'm sure you've already examined it. It's Paragraph 52 on Page 11 of the report. It basically concludes that Mr. Briley has a negative net worth based upon what has happened to him, and also has a negative monthly cash flow.

On Page 12 at Paragraph 53, are a number of debts that are owed, that are outstanding. And, again, because of this situation, it's the Court's understanding and belief that Mr. Briley has lost his license and has not been allowed to engage in his profession.

So those are the circumstances that bring Mr. Briley before the Court.

Now I'll have to say that I read all the letters that were submitted. Now that they have been withdrawn, I guess I won't refer to those. But it's sort of like you know something, but you can't utilize it, I guess is the best way to say it.

I will say, and I think it would be a fair statement, based on the number of people who are in court today, that it appears to the Court, at least, that Mr. Briley has a large cross-section of people who have faith and confidence in him and who believe in him. However, that's one aspect of looking at it.

This is a serious offense. Mr. Briley, I — you know, one thing I haven't heard yet and still don't know, is what precipitated you engaging in this particular conduct. And I don't want you to address that, I'm just talking now.

Obviously, the assumption is you engaged in this type of conduct for financial gain. That would be the logical assumption.

But when I review the entire matter, your situation is a little different than some that come before this Court. Unfortunately, the Court is having to deal more and more with these types of cases, these types of Medicare and Medicaid fraud. And unfortunately, in the Court's opinion, they are becoming more commonplace.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And ultimately when that happens, then obviously the tax payers of the country are the ones that ultimately are ripped off. And that causes me a great deal of concern. So I have to try to balance that aspect of this case against the fact that Mr. Briley has a large number of friends and family who believe strongly in him. Again, since the letters have been withdraw, I'm not going to refer to those. But by the number of people in court today that indicates to me that that's still the case. As I mentioned earlier, the offense level here is eight, the criminal history category is I. quideline range of imprisonment is zero to six months. The period of supervised release is one to three years. I don't believe that Mr. Briley is in a position to pay a fine, based upon his financial report. And Ms. McClusky has already indicated that they have funds, I assume in your escrow account, to pay a majority of the restitution. MS. MCCLUSKY: Yes, Your Honor, we have \$7,500 check today. That will pay off the --THE COURT: That is going to be taken care of in due course.

UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT

the factors under Section 3553 -- Mr. Briley, I've got to

Considering everything, and considering

2.1

tell you that for those of us who do this every day, the people sitting at counsel table, Mr. Massey,
Ms. McClusky, the people from the government, and myself,
cases like yours are oftentimes harder than some of the others. What makes it harder is because you do have a lot of support.

You have done a lot of good things for the community. From what I can tell from the report and from what Ms. McClusky has said as part of her argument, you have been a very kind and compassionate and community-oriented person. You've tried to assist others when you could. So there are a lot of good things to consider about where you are and why we're here.

Then there is the other side. Again, I often wonder what motivates someone in your position to think that they can engage in the kind of conduct that you engaged in, and there won't be very serious and detrimental repercussions from that. But I can't get inside your mind. I can't determine that. I just have to go on the record that's before me.

I can tell you that if I just read a cold record, the logical conclusion as far as I'm concerned would be that a period of incarceration would be appropriate for you. And I'm still not sure that it's not.

Remember when I went over the 3553 factors, one of the primary factors that we consider is what we call promote respect for the law. And so other people are going to be looking at what happens to you. And it may or may not, I don't know whether it influences what other people do.

But certainly because you're a professional and you come into court under these circumstances, it's certainly reasonable to assume that other people would look at what happens to you. And it might or might not influence their conduct and decisions that they make on whether to comply with the law or whether to engage in activity that may be contrary to the law.

At any rate, considering everything that I have gone over, considering the factors under 3553, Mr. Briley, I'm going to sentence you as follows.

I'm going to sentence you to time served.

I'm not going to require you to serve any additional

period of incarceration. However, I am going to impose a

period of supervised release of two years.

During the time that you are on supervised release the following conditions will be in place.

You shall be required to participate in drug and alcohol testing and treatment if deemed

necessary by your probation officer.

You shall be required to make full financial disclosure to your probation office, and shall provide the probation office access to any requested financial information, including your tax returns and credit checks.

You shall not own, have an ownership interest in, or operate a medical business or sole proprietorship providing medical services during the period of time of your supervised release.

You may not hold employment as a nurse practitioner if you acquire your, if you reacquire your licensure with employment subject to approval by the probation office.

Do you understand what I'm saying there?

Do you understand that, Ms. McClusky?

If he can obtain his license -
MS. MCCLUSKY: Yes.

THE COURT: -- than any type of employment that he's going to pursue must be approved by the probation office.

MS. MCCLUSKY: And that employment could be to then open his own clinic. Because earlier -- I just want to make sure --

THE COURT: Well, what do you think,

Mr. Wilson? What is your position?

2.4

MR. IVY: Judge, obviously, I mean, we're here on a criminal case, we're not the medical board, as to what he can and can't do.

Of course, if the Court orders him not to open his own clinic — I think we get right back into the same situation of supervision that we ran into before.

So I think we would have a problem with that.

MS. MCCLUSKY: I'm not sure what that —

THE COURT: Well, what it comes down to,

Ms. McClusky, is — you know, under these circumstances I

would normally not allow Mr. Briley to open a business,

because his history indicates that's not going to be a

productive way for him to proceed.

MS. MCCLUSKY: In this case, Your Honor, the board has had this gentleman under their thumb and under the microscope for four years. They have been watching him and talking to him on a regular basis. He's been sent to this clinic and he's been sent to that clinic. He has done exactly as they have commanded.

Now the medical board is the one who really must protect their view as far as what the public sees. They are the ones that take a great risk when they allow someone to be relicensed.

I would respectfully submit to this Court

that their decision to relicense him shows full faith in his ability to run a clinic henceforth without the problems that were there before.

I suggest to Your Honor that if you will — if they approve him, and then the probation officer understands under which — and they may put some parameters around him for the first couple of years, to say meeting regularly with financial advisers, talking with members of the board. I think with those parameters we would know that he truly, as we have said, will not be a danger to the public.

But I think the board's decision and the ramifications for the board to wrongfully relicense someone let's us feel comfortable allowing him to open and operate a clinic.

MR. IVY: Judge, and I think the key to what Ms. McClusky says is supervision. And the Court already stated that, subject to the approval of the probation office. So if the board should approve that, and the probation office, then so be it. But I think it has to be approved by this Court through the probation office.

THE COURT: Well, are you -- be sure I'm understanding, Mr. Ivy.

Are you agreeable for him to open a sole

practice office if he's under supervision? 1 2 MR. IVY: And I understood the Court to 3 start with, that for him not to open a sole --Well, that's what I said. But 4 THE COURT: 5 that's why I'm trying to decide if I need to amend that 6 in any way. 7 I have real concerns about Mr. Briley 8 opening a sole practitioner office. 9 MR. IVY: And we do too, because of the 10 supervision. Same situation that we got into that got us 11 So that's where we are. 12 MS. MCCLUSKY: But with supervision --13 it's my understanding that with supervision they would be 14 comfortable. I think with --15 THE COURT: Well, they would be 16 comfortable, Ms. McClusky -- excuse me for interrupting 17 you. 18 But they would be comfortable with him 19 working for someone else, and not being -- what this 20 condition as we've crafted it says, he shall not own or 2.1 have an ownership in, or operate a medical business or 22 sole proprietorship providing medical services during the 23 term of his supervised release. 24 He can work for someone else under 25 supervision.

1 MS. MCCLUSKY: He has, owes a lot of 2 money. Could I have a minute to talk with him about 3 this? THE COURT: 4 Sure. 5 MS. MCCLUSKY: Because it all may be -- if 6 he's not allowed to practice... 7 THE COURT: We are not talking about him 8 not being allowed to practice. We're talking about him 9 not having an ownership interest in, or having a sole 10 proprietorship where he's in charge and running it and 11 maintaining a sole practice. 12 MS. MCCLUSKY: May I have a moment, Your 13 Honor? 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 (ATTORNEY/CLIENT CONFERENCE.) 16 MS. MCCLUSKY: Your Honor, I'm informed 17 that per the board's requirements, if he's licensed he 18 will have to have another nurse practitioner there on 19 site. They've already made arrangements for that nurse 20 practitioner. And he has to have physician oversight. 21 They have gotten with the Director of 22 Quality Care. And that is Dr. Peter Gardner. And Dr. 23 Peter Gardner says that he will be the physician and he 24 will be on site once a week to review everything. 25 I'm not going to go with THE COURT: No.

that.

2.1

This is the condition. Mr. Briley shall not own, or have an ownership interest in, or operate a medical business or sole proprietorship providing medical services during the period of supervised release.

He may hold employment as a nurse practitioner, if he reacquires his license, with any employment subject to the approval of the probation office. All right.

Are we clear?

MS. MCCLUSKY: Yes, Your Honor, we are.

THE COURT: Ms. McClusky, if I'm understanding what you were representing based on your conversation with Mr. Briley, he was going to be back in a circumstance where he was going to still be the proprietor of a practice. And I think you said Dr. Gardner or someone was going to be the supervising physician, as I understood what you're saying.

MS. MCCLUSKY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: But I think the concern here for me or for the Court is just simply, this is what got us here to start with. We're talking about a two year period of time where Mr. Briley cannot own an interest in a medical practice, cannot have a sole practice. He's got to be working under the direction of someone else and

1 subject to the approval of the probation office. 2 MS. MCCLUSKY: My client has told me to 3 He said he's fine with that. back off. 4 THE COURT: All right. 5 MS. MCCLUSKY: So I will... 6 THE COURT: You will take your client's 7 advice. 8 MS. MCCLUSKY: I'll sit down. 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 Next condition. Mr. Briley shall be 11 required to submit to third party risk notification. 12 Ms. McClusky and Mr. Massey, I assume you 13 will explain that to Mr. Briley. I don't need to go into 14 any more detail. 15 MS. MCCLUSKY: Yes, sir. 16 THE COURT: He shall be required to 17 cooperate in the collection of DNA. 18 The last requirement on possession of 19 firearms, does that apply in this case? 20 MR. WILSON: He's a convicted felon, Your 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Cannot 23 possess or own -- Mr. Briley, you cannot have in your 24 possession any kind of firearm or dangerous weapon. 25 As I've already stated, I'm not going to

impose a fine. I don't believe that Mr. Briley has the resources to pay a fine.

Restitution will be in the amount of \$7,844.72.

And based upon the representations made by counsel, I will just say that the full amount shall be paid within 72 hours of this hearing.

And there will be a \$100 special assessment which shall be mandatory and due and payable immediately.

All right. Mr. Wilson, anything else we overlooked?

MR. WILSON: Well, Your Honor, regarding the restitution, I have a breakdown provided by investigating agent in the case as to which sub-entities that is to go to. I've communicated with Mr. Massey, I believe we're in agreement, as to whom those payments will be made.

In Judge Breen's court I've worked with Officer Long. He provides that information to the clerk and then it is distributed per the restitution once it's paid to the clerk's office. So I will provide that to Officer Long. And if there is any further follow-up on that, I will address that with the Court.

THE COURT: Well, I am also going to ask

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you, if you will, Mr. Wilson, also provide that to Mr. Bryson. I want it included in the judgment, so that it's clear what, who is to be paid what and make sure that we handle that accordingly. MR. WILSON: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Mr. Wilson? MR. WILSON: Your Honor, the defendant -the judgment becoming final in this case, I would move to dismiss the, all indictments in Case No. 15-cr-10046 regarding Mr. Briley. THE COURT: All right. I will grant that motion and those charges will be dismissed. Anything else from the government? MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Ms. McClusky, anything from you? MS. MCCLUSKY: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. Are you waiving at me, Mr. Briley, or do you want to say something? THE DEFENDANT: I'm just saying thank you. THE COURT: Well, let me address one other thing with you, Mr. Briley. I also received as part of all the reports and documentation that the Court receives prior to coming

out here today, I also received what is referred to as a release status report.

And that release status report indicates that while you were on pre-trial supervision you failed to report to pre-trial services on four separate occasions. September of 2015, May of 2016, October of 2016 and September of 2017.

The report also indicates that you were reprimanded by pre-trial services and reminded that as a condition of your bond that you were required to make these monthly meetings.

Now I point that out simply, Mr. Briley, to make it as clear as I know how that the conditions that I've imposed upon you you must follow literally. If you have any questions about what your obligations are or what your responsibilities are, then certainly Ms.

McClusky or Mr. Massey are more than capable of advising you on how you need to proceed. But as well, the probation officer is available and will do likewise.

But it is of utmost importance that you comply with every condition, and that you do so without exception. Do you understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir, I promise.

THE COURT: Well, you need to know if you don't, if you don't comply with these conditions, then

	39
	39
1	what will happen is I will receive a report indicating
2	that you have not complied. We'll come back to court
3	and you escaped incarceration this time, so you need to
4	remember that.
5	Do you understand?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Wilson, any
8	objection to the sentence imposed or the method utilized
9	by the Court in arriving at its calculations?
10	MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Ms. McClusky, any objection to
12	the sentence as imposed or the method unitized by the
13	Court in arriving at its calculations?
14	MS. MCCLUSKY: No, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. That will be the
16	judgment of the Court.
17	Thank you. Good luck, Mr. Briley.
18	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
19	(End of Proceedings.)
20	(End of Requested Material.)
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
40
               I, Kristi Heasley, do hereby certify that the
 1
 2
     foregoing 39 pages are, to the best of my knowledge,
 3
     skill and ability, a true and accurate unredacted
 4
     transcript from my stenotype notes in the matter of:
 5
 6
 7
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 8
     VS
                                          )NO.17-cr-10097
9
                                          ) JACKSON, TENNESSEE
     JOHN MICHAEL BRILEY
10
11
12
               Dated this 21st day of February, 2018.
13
14
15
16
     Kristi Heasley, RPR
17
     Official Court Reporter
     United States District Court
18
     Western District of Tennessee
     Eastern Division
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

UNREDACTED TRANSCRIPT